	TED STATES PATENT	and Trademark Office	UNITED STATES DEPAR United States Patent and Address: COMMISSIONER F P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 223 www.uspto.gov	Trademark Office . OR PATENTS
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/672,331	09/26/2003	Arthur Silverman	SILVERLINE 3.0-016	8416
530	7590 08/11/2005		EXAM	INER
LERNER, DAVID, LITTENBERG,		REDMAN, JERRY E		
KRUMHOLZ & MENTLIK 600 SOUTH AVENUE WEST			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WESTFIELD			3634	
			DATE MAILED: 08/11/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<u>)</u>			
	A	Application No.	Applicant(s)	C
		10/672,331	SILVERMAN, ARTHUR	
Office Action Summa		Examiner	Art Unit	
		lerry Redman	3634	
The MAILING DATE of this co Period for Reply	mmunication appea	rs on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PER THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COM - Extensions of time may be available under the p after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of t - If the period for reply specified above is less than - If NO period for reply is specified above, the may - Failure to reply within the set or extended period Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.7	IMUNICATION. rovisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a his communication. n thirty (30) days, a reply wil kimum statutory period will a for reply will, by statute, ca months after the mailing da	a). In no event, however, may thin the statutory minimum of apply and will expire SIX (6) N use the application to become	v a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. IONTHS from the mailing date of this communica ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.
itatus				
1) Responsive to communication	n(s) filed on <i>13 June</i>	2005		
2a) This action is FINAL .		tion is non-final.		
	<i>,</i>		atters, prosecution as to the merits	s is
closed in accordance with the		•		5.0
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s): $1-40$ is/are pending in	n the application			
4) Of the above claim(s) $7-9$,		and 24 is/aro withdra	we from consideration	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,10-13,15,17-20,2</u>	*	2 33 and 35-40 is/ar	e rejected	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected		<u>2,00 and 00 40</u> 18741		
8) Claim(s) are subject to		lection requirement		
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to	•	_		
10) The drawing(s) filed on	is/are: a)∐ accept	ted or b) objected	to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that ar				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) in 11) The oath or declaration is obje			ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	
· · ·	cled to by the Exam		Ted Office Action of John PTO-152	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a a) All b) Some * c) Non		iority under 35 U.S.C	C § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the p		ave been received		
2. Certified copies of the p	•		Application No	
	-		en received in this National Stage	
application from the Inte			en received in this Matorial Stage	
* See the attached detailed Office	•		ot received	
Attachment(s)				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing References 			w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date	
\mathbb{Z} Notice of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Resp. \mathbb{Z} Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-			of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/13/04 & 7/8/05</u> .	· - · - - · · · · ,	6) 🗍 Other:		
. Patent and Trademark Office TOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	Office Actio	n Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 2005	

\$

•

Applicant's election of Group I in the reply filed on 6/13/2005 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

The applicant's information disclosure statements dated 1/13/2004 and 7/8/2005 have been considered and a copy has been placed in the file.

Status of the claims is as follows:

Claims 7-9, 14, 16, 21, 24, 28, 31, and 34 are hereby withdrawn from consideration; and

Claims 1-6, 10-13, 15, 17-20, 22-23, 25-27, 29-30, 32-33, and 35-40 are herein addressed below.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 1, line 10, the phraseology "its said closed" and in claim 1, lines 10-11, "its said open-tilted" is not readily understood by the Examiner.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

1

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 10, 11, 13, 17, 19, 29, 30, 35, 36, 38, and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Japanese patent No. 58210289A to Sowa. Japanese patent No. 58210289A to Sowa discloses a window assembly comprising a window frame (3), a window sash (4) constructed to be positioned within the window frame (3) and capable of moving from a closed position to an open-tilted position and vice versa, a pair of parallel pivot pins (18) on the window sash (4), a pair of kidney shaped receptacles (14 and 16, claim 17 recites these as channels) disposed within the window frame (3) which accommodate movement of the window sash (4) from the closed position to the open-tilted position and vice versa. Japanese patent No. 58210289A to Sowa further discloses the window sash (4) cooperating with the window frame (3) to limit the extend of the open-tilted position (the upstanding wall to the left of the kidney shaped receptacle as shown in Figures 2 and 3 with the upstanding wall acting as a water dam with the top portion of the wall angled 90 degrees for supporting the window sash (4)).

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3, 12, 18, 29, 33 and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japanese patent No. 58210289A to Sowa in view of Yanessa ('201). All of the elements of the instant invention are discussed in detail except providing the pivot pins to be retractable. Yanessa ('201) discloses a sliding/pivoting sash having pivot pins, which are retractable. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide Japanese patent No. 58210289A to Sowa with retractable pins as taught by Yanessa ('201) since retractable pivot pins allows the sash to be easily removed and attached to the window frame.

Claims 4, 15, 20, 22, 23, 25, 26, 32, and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japanese patent No. 58210289A to Sowa in view of Menegazzo ('911). All of the elements of the instant invention are discussed in detail above except providing a channel extending along a portion of the window frame. Menegazzo ('911) discloses a window assembly comprising channel guide (16) extending along a window frame and having a kidney shaped receptacle (17) at a pivoting end. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the window assembly of Japanese patent No. 58210289A to Sowa with a channel guide extending along a portion of the frame as taught by Menegazzo ('911) since a channel extending a portion of the window frame allows the window sash to be tilted greater than 90 degrees from the normal.

Claim 27 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japanese patent No. 58210289A to Sowa and Menegazzo as applied to claim 26 above, and further in view of Yanessa ('201). All of the elements of the instant invention

are discussed in detail except providing the pivot pins to be retractable. Yanessa ('201) discloses a sliding/pivoting sash having pivot pins, which are retractable. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide Japanese patent No. 58210289A to Sowa with retractable pins as taught by Yanessa ('201) since retractable pivot pins allows the sash to be easily removed and attached to the window frame.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. U.S. patent to Weishar et al. and Patent Application Publication to Pax et al. disclose a retractable pin similar to that of the applicant's invention. U.S. patent to Ball discloses a movable door within a track similar to that of the applicant's invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Jerry Redman at telephone number 571-272-6835.

Jerry Redman **Primary Examiner**